

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This communication is responsive to the Office Action of September 30, 2008 in which the following objections were raised: [1-2] Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,100,717) in view of Takato et al. (US 5,402,485); [3] Claims 7 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,100,717) in view of Takato et al. (US 5,402,485) in further view of Ballatore et al. (US 4,538,032); [4] Claims 10-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melsa et al. (US 2004/0001586) in view of Stephens (US 6,144,721); [5] Claim 8 was allowed.

Applicant has cancelled Claims 1-7 and 9-13, amended a typographical error in Allowed Claim 8, and added new Claim 14.

1-2 CLAIMS 1-6 REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(a) MAY & TAKATO

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,100,717) in view of Takato et al. (US 5,402,485).

Applicant has cancelled Claims 1-6.

3 CLAIMS 7, 9 REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(a) MAY, TAKATO, BALLATORE

Claims 7 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,100,717) in view of Takato et al. (US 5,402,485) in further view of Ballatore et al. (US 4,538,032).

Applicant has cancelled Claims 7 and 9.

4 CLAIMS 10-13 REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(a) MELSA & STEPHENS

Claims 10-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melsa et al. (US 2004/0001586) in view of Stephens (US 6,144,721).

Applicant has cancelled Claims 10-13.

5 CLAIM 8 ALLOWED

Claim 8 was allowed.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's allowance of Claim 8. Applicant has amended Claim 8 to correct a typographical error therein.

NEW CLAIM 14

Applicant has added new Independent Claim 14 similar to allowed Claim 8 but with the alternate "L", "C" complex termination modules setting fourth in the originally filed description: "...[A]ny type of complex termination modules 302, 304 such as L-C complex terminations as well as R-C complex terminations." (Applicant's original Specification at page 12 Para 30) and "The term 'complex termination' herein refers to a pair of a resistor and a capacitor coupled to each other in parallel or a pair of an inductor and a capacitor coupled to each either in parallel, positioned at the output of either one of the amplifiers of a differential amplifier in a DSL line interface unit." (Applicant's original Specification at page 4 Para 9)

This new claim is fully supported in the specification as discussed above. No new subject matter has been added.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks, and the amendments to the Claims, Applicant respectfully submits that all remaining Claims 8 and 14 have been placed in a condition for allowance, and requests that they be allowed. Early notice to this effect is solicited.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including petition fees and extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-1338 (Docket No. VLC08860US).

Respectfully submitted,
IP CREATORS

/ Charles C. Cary /

Charles C. Cary
Registration No. 36,764

Date: March 30, 2009

P.O. Box 2789
Cupertino, CA 95015
Tel: (408) 850-9585
Fax: (408) 850-9585
E-mail: cccary@ipcreators.com